

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



GLOBAL AND PLANETARY

Global and Planetary Change 38 (2003) 1-30

www.elsevier.com/locate/gloplacha

Simulation of high-latitude hydrological processes in the Torne–Kalix basin: PILPS Phase 2(e)

1: Experiment description and summary intercomparisons

Laura C. Bowling^{a,*}, Dennis P. Lettenmaier^a, Bart Nijssen^{a,1}, L. Phil Graham^b, Douglas B. Clark^c, Mustapha El Maayar^d, Richard Essery^e, Sven Goers^f, Yeugeniy M. Gusev^g, Florence Habets^h, Bart van den Hurkⁱ, Jiming Jin^j, Daniel Kahan^k, Dag Lohmann¹, Xieyao Ma^m, Sarith Mahanamaⁿ, David Mocko^o, Olga Nasonova^g, Guo-Yue Niu^j, Patrick Samuelsson^{b,p}, Andrey B. Shmakin^q, Kumiko Takata^m, Diana Verseghy^r, Pedro Viterbo^s, Youlong Xia^{t,2}, Yongkang Xue^k, Zong-Liang Yang^u

```
<sup>a</sup> Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Washington Box 352700, Seattle, WA 98195-2700, USA
                                    <sup>b</sup> Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Institute, Sweden
                                        <sup>c</sup> Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, UK
                           <sup>d</sup> Canadian Forest Service, Northern Forestry Centre, Edmonton AB, Canada
                                                            e Met Office, UK
                                           <sup>f</sup>GKSS Research Center, Geesthacht, Germany
                         <sup>g</sup> Institute of Water Problems, Russian Academy of Sciences, Russian Federation
                               <sup>h</sup>National Center for Atmospheric Research/CNRM, Toulouse, France
                               <sup>i</sup>Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute, De Bilt, The Netherlands
                    <sup>j</sup>Department of Hydrology and Water Resources, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA
                                     <sup>k</sup>University of California Los Angeles, Torrance, CA, USA
                                    <sup>1</sup>NCEP Environmental Modeling Center (NOAA/NWS), USA
                                       <sup>m</sup> Frontier Research System for Global Change, Japan
<sup>n</sup>Hydrological Sciences Branch, NASA Goddard Space Flight Center and GEST/University of Maryland Baltimore County, USA
                        <sup>o</sup>NASA Goddard Space Flight Center and SAIC/General Sciences Operation, USA
                              <sup>p</sup>Department of Meteorology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden
                       <sup>q</sup>Institute of Geography, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russian Federation
                              <sup>r</sup>Climate Research Branch, Meteorological Service of Canada, Canada
                              <sup>s</sup> European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, UK
                                         <sup>t</sup>Macquarie University, North Ryde, NSW, Australia
                       <sup>u</sup>Department of Geological Sciences, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, USA
                 Received 17 November 2001; received in revised form 28 January 2002; accepted 3 June 2002
```

Abstract

Twenty-one land-surface schemes (LSSs) participated in the Project for Intercomparison of Land-surface Parameterizations (PILPS) Phase 2(e) experiment, which used data from the Torne–Kalix Rivers in northern Scandinavia. Atmospheric forcing data (precipitation, air temperature, specific humidity, wind speed, downward shortwave and longwave radiation) for a 20-year period (1979–1998) were provided to the 21 participating modeling groups for 218 1/4° grid cells that represented the study domain. The first decade (1979–1988) of the period was used for model spin-up. The quality of meteorologic forcing variables is of particular concern in high-latitude experiments and the quality of the gridded dataset was assessed to the extent possible.

E-mail address: lxb@hydro.washington.edu (L.C. Bowling).

² Present address: Institute for Geophysics, University of Texas at Austin, USA.

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +1-206-616-6274.

¹ Present address: Department of Civil Engineering and Hydrology and Water Resources, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA.

The lack of sub-daily precipitation, underestimation of true precipitation and the necessity to estimate incoming solar radiation were the primary data concerns for this study. The results from two of the three types of runs are analyzed in this, the first of a three-part paper: (1) calibration-validation runs—calibration of model parameters using observed streamflow was allowed for two small catchments (570 and 1300 km²), and parameters were then transferred to two other catchments of roughly similar size (2600 and 1500 km²) to assess the ability of models to represent ungauged areas elsewhere; and 2) reruns—using revised forcing data (to resolve problems with apparent underestimation of solar radiation of approximately 36%, and certain other problems with surface wind in the original forcing data). Model results for the period 1989-1998 are used to evaluate the performance of the participating land-surface schemes in a context that allows exploration of their ability to capture key processes spatially. In general, the experiment demonstrated that many of the LSSs are able to capture the limitations imposed on annual latent heat by the small net radiation available in this high-latitude environment. Simulated annual average net radiation varied between 16 and 40 W/m² for the 21 models, and latent heat varied between 18 and 36 W/m². Among-model differences in winter latent heat due to the treatment of aerodynamic resistance appear to be at least as important as those attributable to the treatment of canopy interception. In many models, the small annual net radiation forced negative sensible heat on average, which varied among the models between -11 and 9 W/m². Even though the largest evaporation rates occur in the summer (June, July and August), model-predicted snow sublimation in winter has proportionately more influence on differences in annual runoff volume among the models. A calibration experiment for four small sub-catchments of the Torne-Kalix basin showed that model parameters that are typically adjusted during calibration, those that control storage of moisture in the soil column or on the land surface via ponding, influence the seasonal distribution of runoff, but have relatively little impact on annual runoff ratios. Similarly, there was no relationship between annual runoff ratios and the proportion of surface and subsurface discharge for the basin as a whole.

© 2003 Elsevier Science B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Arctic regions; modelling; hydrology; atmosphere

1. Introduction

Climate models predict that temperature changes associated with global warming may be greatest at high latitudes (Giorgi et al., 2001). The temperature sensitivity of high-latitude land areas is due in part to climate feedbacks, such as the reduction of snow extent and the resulting decrease in wintertime landsurface albedo that would likely accompany a warmer climate, leading to a subsequent increase in absorbed radiation (Giorgi et al., 2001). In addition, Arctic freshwater flux, along with sea-ice formation, controls the salinity of the polar ocean and thus the thermohaline circulation of the world ocean (Broecker, 1997). Coupled ice-ocean models indicate that decadal trends in both arctic precipitation and river runoff have the potential to exert broad-scale impacts on the arctic sea ice regime (Weatherly and Walsh, 1996) and to excite decadal and interdecadal oscillations of ocean circulation (Weaver et al., 1991). Steele et al. (1996) found direct linkages between the variability of freshwater in the Arctic Ocean and transport rates out of Fram Strait, located between Greenland and the islands of Svalbard, relative to fluxes through the Canadian Archipelago. A large increase in freshwater flux to the convective gyres in the Greenland and Iceland seas through Fram Strait could have major consequences for convection and global thermohaline ocean circulation (Aagaard and Carmack, 1989).

7. Conclusions

This paper describes the structure of the Phase 2(e) model intercomparison, conducted over the Torne–Kalix Rivers in northern Scandinavia. As is often the case in high-latitude studies, the experiment is limited, in part, by the quality of atmospheric forcing data available. Known problems with the meteorologic data for the Torne–Kalix basin include the following:

- Wind-induced errors in the observation of solid precipitation resulted in the under-observation of solid precipitation that had to be corrected in the gridded dataset.
- Sub-daily precipitation data are scarce and virtually non-existent for the historic record, so precipitation was disaggregated statistically using the limited available data.
- Incoming radiation components are not regularly observed and must be estimated. An inappropriate estimation technique resulted in a large bias in the original forcing data that had to be replaced after the experiment was underway.

An analysis of the forcing dataset in conjunction with other available data products indicates that there are no significant biases in the gridded data. It is therefore appropriate to analyze the ability of the participating LSSs to represent this high-latitude environment.